

**REMARKS**

Reconsideration and allowance of the subject application are respectfully requested. By this Amendment, Applicant has canceled claims 3 and 4. Thus, claims 1,2 and 5-8 are now pending in the application. In response to the Office Action, Applicant respectfully submits that the pending claims define patentable subject matter.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. By this Amendment, Applicant has amended claim 1 to address the Examiner's concerns. Accordingly, the Examiner is requested to remove the § 112, second paragraph, rejection.

Claims 1-4 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as being anticipated by Pasanen (WO 9922493). Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pasanen in view of Peters et al. (USP 6,590,969; hereafter "Peters"). Applicant respectfully submits that the claimed invention would not have been anticipated by Pasanen or rendered obvious in view of the combination of Pasanen and Peters.

Pasanen discloses a server device which registers the different message types which can be handled by several peripheral devices belonging to a wireless local area network. The registration is performed over the local area network. The server device forwards messages having a predefined type to an appropriate peripheral device able to handle this message over the wireless local area network.

Peters discloses a method to route an incoming call when the receiving terminal is not suitable to handle the service type of the incoming call. A destination terminal selecting arrangement registering the presence and capability of all terminals (office devices) within a certain area selects the most suitable terminal within the area to handle the call and notifies the address of this most suitable terminal to the receiving terminal which can forward the call to the most suitable terminal. The registration is initiated by the office devices themselves. The call forwarding is performed according to traditional call forwarding techniques.

Applicant respectfully submits that the cited references, alone or in combination, do not teach or suggest “sending from said wireless mobile terminal to said radio communication network a message containing an identifier unambiguously identifying said office device if said office device is interconnected to said radio communication network over a communication network; and forwarding said call from said radio communication network to said office device”, as required by amended independent claims 1 and 8. Similarly, Applicant respectfully submits that the cited references, alone or in combination, do not teach or suggest “a module for activating a call forwarding to said selected office device in said radio communication network if said selected office device is interconnected to said radio communication network over a communication network”, as required by amended independent claim 7.

In view of the above, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 1-8 should be allowable over Pasanen and Peters.

Reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111  
U.S. Application No. 10/054,910

best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,



Christopher R. Lipp  
Registration No. 41,157

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC  
Telephone: (202) 293-7060  
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

**23373**

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: March 16, 2005

Attorney Docket No.: Q68220